

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,705	07/12/2001	Stuart E. Ralston	2240P136	4758
7590 06/10/2004			EXAMINER	
	oloff, Taylor & Zafma	CHERRY, STEPHEN J		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030			2863	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Air			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	lan			
Advisory Action	09/904,705	RALSTON ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Cherry	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and stimely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth to later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mail	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final originally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 April 2004. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•	+ in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	· · · · —	<i>,</i> —	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the affidavit, because the Hakala et al claim 2 discloses the same patentable invention as claim 1 of the application, an affidavit under 37 CFR 1.131 is not appropriate.

John Berlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800